

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,445	10/713,445 11/14/2003		David Alan Burton	END9-2002-0061US1	9621
45216	7590	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KUNZLER 8 EAST BR			WALTER, CRAIG E		
SUITE 600	OADWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAK	E CITY, U	JT 84111	2188		
				DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
BURTON ET AL.
Art Unit
2188

3	Examino	Aitoiiit	
	Craig E. Walter	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff of the of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the of Appeal fee) in the of Appeal fee) in the of Appeal fee) in the office of Appeal fee	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	alianae with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ha of the data of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		90 (1)	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	DW); ttos form for apposit by materially re	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter torili for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	4
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		<i>*</i> ;
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appointed.		:
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26 and 28.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		·
13. Other:	24/		1:
HYUNG	oygh ~	11.5/	<u>, </u>
SUPERVISORY PAT	ENT EXAMINER	Craig E Walter Examiner AU 2188	3

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has modified the scope of several independent claims by way of amendment requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has modified the scope of several independent claims by way of amendment after prosecution was closed in the Office action made final on 10 August 2006. According to MPEP § 714.13 II., "It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter or right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims". Such changes to the claims would require further search and consideration to determine if the amendments place the application in condition for allowance.